UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/765,391 | 01/28/2004 | Anthony Di Bitonto | B0224.0079 | 2535 |
| 32172 DICKSTEIN SI | 7590 12/23/200 HAPIRO LLP | EXAMINER | | |
| 1177 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS (6TH AVENUE) NEW YORK, NY 10036-2714 | | | NGUYEN, PHONG H | |
| NEW TORK, P | N1 10030-2714 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3724 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 12/23/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|-------------------|--|--|
| 10/765,391 | DI BITONTO ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| PHONG H. NGUYEN | 3724 | | |

| | PHONG H. NGUYEN | 3724 | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence addi | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>15 December 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS | S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | OR ALLOWANCE. | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid aban t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Anno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final rejectio | n. | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office | te extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | |
| 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b | out prior to the date of filing a brief | will not be entered be | 031160 | | | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor | | | cause | | | |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | w); | | | | | |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or | ter form for appeal by materially red | ducing or simplifying th | ne issues for | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c | corresponding number of finally reje | ected claims | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1) | | ottod oldiirio. | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | mpliant Amendment (F | PTOL-324). | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | (. | , . | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | owable if submitted in a separate, | timely filed amendmen | t canceling the | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | l be entered and an ex | xplanation of | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,5-8,17,20,22-27 and 29</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>16 and 28</u> . | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se | al and/or appellant fails see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1) | s to provide a | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attache | ed. | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowand | ce because: | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)13. Other: | | | | | | |
| | /Timothy V Eley/ Primary Examiner, Art U | Init 3724 | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added paragraph "a second post having a first end and a second end, the first end of the second post being connected to the second end of the first post by a hing" in claims 1, 22 and 23 changes the scope of the claims and therefore presents possible new issues that require further search and consideration since claims 1, 22 and 23 are not obviously allowable over prior art. It is to be noted that the newly added paragraph should be underlined.